These lecture notes are supplementary materials for the lectures. They are by no means substitutes for attending lectures or replacement for your own notes!

1 Measure Concentration

As we have seen in the previous lectures, the objective function of a problem can be expressed as some random variable $Y$, and we analyze the performance of a randomized algorithm in terms of the expectation (or mean) $E[Y]$. We often wish to show that with a large probability, the random variable $Y$ is near its mean $E[Y]$. We see that if $Y$ is a sum of independent random variables, then this is indeed the case. This phenomenon is known as measure concentration.

1.1 Example: Chebyshev’s Inequality

Suppose $X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}$ are independent $\{0, 1\}$-random variables such that for each $i$, $Pr(X_i = 1) = p$ and $Pr(X_i = 0) = 1 - p$. Let $Y := \sum_i X_i$. We have $E[Y] = np$.

Remark 1.1 By using pairwise independence of the $X_i$’s, we have $\text{var}[Y] = np(1 - p)$.

Using the Chebyshev’s Inequality, we have for $0 < \epsilon < 1$,

$$Pr(|Y - E[Y]| \geq \epsilon E[Y]) \leq \frac{\text{var}[Y]}{(\epsilon E[Y])^2} = \frac{1 - p}{\epsilon^2 p} \cdot \frac{1}{n}$$

We have only used the fact that any two different random variables $X_i$ and $X_j$ are independent. The goal is to show that if we fully exploit the fact that all the random variables $X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}$ are independent of one another, we can obtain a much better result.

Theorem 1.2 (Basic Chernoff Bound) Suppose $Y$ is the sum of $n$ independent $\{0, 1\}$-random variables $X_i$’s such that for each $i$, $Pr(X_i = 1) = p$. Let $\mu := E[Y] = np$. Then, for $0 < \epsilon < 1$,

$$Pr(|Y - E[Y]| \geq \epsilon E[Y]) \leq 2 \exp\{-\frac{1}{3} \epsilon^2 np\}.$$
of them: the method of moment generating function.

We describe in general terms. Suppose $X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}$ are independent random variables. They can take any value (not necessarily in $\{0, 1\}$), and need not even be identically distributed. Let $Y := \sum_i X_i$ and $\mu := E[Y]$. The goal is to give an upper bound on the probability $Pr[|Y - \mu| \geq \alpha]$, for some value $\alpha > 0$. We outline the steps in the following.

2.1 Transform the Inequality into a Convenient Form

We first use the equation:

$$Pr[|Y - \mu| \geq \alpha] = Pr[Y - \mu \geq \alpha] + Pr[Y - \mu \leq -\alpha].$$

(2.1)

We bound each of the term on the right hand side separately. Recall that $Y := \sum_i X_i$. Sometimes it would be convenient to first rescale each random variable $X_i$. For example,

1. $Z_i := X_i$. The simplest case. We can just work with $X_i$.

2. $Z_i := X_i - E[X_i]$. We have $E[Z_i] = 0$.

3. $Z_i := \frac{X_i}{R}$. If $X_i$ is in the range $[0, R]$, then we now have $Z_i \in [0, 1]$.

Since the $X_i$’s are independent, the $Z_i$’s are also independent. After the transformation, the two terms in (2.1) have the form

(i) $Pr[\sum_i Z_i \geq \beta]$, or

(ii) $Pr[\sum_i Z_i \leq \beta]$.

Note that the $\beta$ in each case is different. The direction of the inequality is also different. We use a trick to turn both inequalities into the same form. In case (i), let $t > 0$; in case (ii), let $t < 0$. Now, both inequalities have the same form

$$Pr[t \sum_i Z_i \geq t\beta]$$

(2.2)

The value $t$ would be chosen later to get the best possible bound. Note that we have to remember whether $t$ is positive or negative.

Example.

As part of the Basic Chernoff Bound, suppose we wish to consider the part $Pr[Y - \mu \leq -\epsilon\mu]$. In this case, we just let $Z_i := X_i$ and let $t < 0$ to obtain

$$Pr[Y - \mu \leq -\epsilon\mu] = Pr[t \sum_i X_i \geq t(1 - \epsilon)\mu].$$
2.2 Using Moment Generating Function and Independence

Notation: we write $\exp(x) = e^x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^i}{i!}$.

Observe that the exponentiation function is strictly increasing, i.e. $x < y$ iff $\exp(x) < \exp(y)$. Hence,

$$Pr[t \sum_i Z_i \geq t\beta] = Pr[\exp(t \sum_i Z_i) \geq \exp(t\beta)].$$

Notice that now both sides of the inequality are positive. Hence, by Markov’s Inequality, we have

$$Pr[\exp(t \sum_i Z_i) \geq \exp(t\beta)] \leq \exp(-t\beta)E[\exp(t \sum_i Z_i)].$$

The next step is where we use the fact that the $Z_i$’s are independent:

$$E[\exp(t \sum_i Z_i)] = \prod_i E[\exp(t Z_i)].$$

**Definition 2.1** Given a random variable $Z$, the moment generating function is given by the mapping $t \mapsto E[e^{tZ}]$.

Hence, it suffices to find an upper bound for $E[e^{tZ_i}]$, for each $i$.

**Remark 2.2** We wish to find an upper bound of the form $E[e^{tZ_i}] \leq \exp(g_i(t))$ for some appropriate function $g_i(t)$. Note that this is often the most technical part of the proof, and requires tools from calculus.

Hence, we obtain the bound

$$Pr[t \sum_i Z_i \geq t\beta] \leq \exp(-t\beta)\prod_i E[e^{tZ_i}] \leq \exp(-t\beta)\prod_i \exp(g_i(t)) = \exp(-t\beta + \sum_i g_i(t)) = \exp(g(t)), $$

where $g(t) := -t\beta + \sum_i g_i(t)$.

**Example.**

Continuing with our example, if $Z_i = X_i$ is a $\{0,1\}$-random variable such that $Pr(X_i = 1) = p$, then we have

$$E[e^{tZ_i}] = (1 - p) \cdot e^0 + p \cdot e^t = 1 + p(e^t - 1) \leq \exp(p(e^t - 1)), $$

where we have used the inequality $1 + x \leq e^x$, for all real numbers $x$.

Hence,

$$Pr[t \sum_i X_i \geq t(1 - \epsilon)\mu] \leq \exp\{-t(1 - \epsilon)\mu + np(e^t - 1)\} = \exp(g(t)), $$

where $g(t) := \mu(e^t - t(1 - \epsilon) - 1)$.

2.3 Find the Best Value for $t$ to Minimize $g(t)$

We find the value $t$ that minimizes the function $g(t) := -t\beta + \sum_i g_i(t)$. Be careful to remember whether $t$ is positive or negative!

**Example.**

In our example, we have $g(t) := \mu(e^t - t(1 - \epsilon) - 1)$. 


Note that $g'(t) = \mu(e^t - (1 - \epsilon))$ and $g''(t) = \mu e^t > 0$. It follows that $g$ attains its minimum when $g'(t) = 0$, i.e., when $t = \ln(1 - \epsilon) < 0$.

We check that in our example, $t < 0$. So, we can set the value $t := \ln(1 - \epsilon)$. Using the expansion for $0 < \epsilon < 1$, $-\ln(1 - \epsilon) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{\epsilon^i}{i}$, we have $g(\ln(1 - \epsilon)) \leq -\frac{\epsilon^2}{2} = -\frac{\epsilon^2}{2np}$.

So, we have one part of the Basic Chernoff Bound,

$$\Pr[Y - \mu \leq -\epsilon \mu] \leq \exp(-\frac{\epsilon^2 np}{2}).$$

**Theorem 2.3** Suppose $X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}$ are independent $\{0, 1\}$-random variables, each having expectation $p$. Let $Y := \sum_i X_i$ and $\mu := E[Y]$.

Then, for $0 < \epsilon < 1$, $\Pr[Y \leq (1 - \epsilon)\mu] \leq \exp(-\frac{\epsilon^2 \mu}{2}).$

### 3 The Other Half of Chernoff

To complete the proof of the Chernoff Bound, one also needs to obtain an upper bound for $\Pr[Y - \mu \geq \epsilon \mu]$. The same technique of moment generating function can be applied. The calculations might be different though. We would leave the details as a homework problem.

**Lemma 3.1** Suppose $X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}$ are independent $\{0, 1\}$-random variables, each having expectation $p$. Let $Y := \sum_i X_i$ and $\mu := E[Y]$.

Then, for all $\epsilon > 0$, $\Pr[Y \geq (1 + \epsilon)\mu] \leq \left(\frac{e^\epsilon}{(1+\epsilon)^{1+\epsilon}}\right)^\mu$.

**Corollary 3.2** For $0 < \epsilon < 1$, using the inequality $(1 + \epsilon) \ln(1 + \epsilon) \geq \epsilon + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2}$, we have:

$$\Pr[Y \geq (1 + \epsilon)\mu] \leq \exp(-\frac{\epsilon^2 \mu}{2}).$$

**Corollary 3.3 (Chernoff Bound with Large $\epsilon$)** For all $\epsilon > 0$, using the inequality $\ln(1 + \epsilon) > \frac{2\epsilon}{2+\epsilon}$, we have:

$$\Pr[Y \geq (1 + \epsilon)\mu] \leq \exp(-\frac{\epsilon^2 \mu}{2+\epsilon}).$$

### 4 2-Coloring Subsets: Revisited

Consider a finite set $U$ and subsets $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_m$ of $U$ such that each $S_i$ has size $|S_i| = l$, where $l > 12 \ln m$. Is it possible to color each element of $U$ red or blue such that each set $S_i$ contains roughly the same number of red and blue elements?

**Proposition 4.1** Fix a subset $S_i$, let $X_i$ be the number of red elements in $S_i$.

Then, $\Pr[|X_i - \frac{l}{2}| \geq \sqrt{3l \ln m}] \leq \frac{2}{m^2}$.

**Proof:** Note that $E[X_i] = \frac{l}{2}$. By Chernoff Bound, for $0 < \epsilon < 1$,

$$\Pr[|X_i - \frac{l}{2}| \geq \epsilon E[X_i]] \leq 2 \exp(-\frac{\epsilon^2}{2} E[X_i]).$$

Substituting $\epsilon := \sqrt{\frac{12 \ln m}{l}} < 1$, we have the result.
Corollary 4.2 By the union bound, \( \Pr[\exists i, |X_i - \frac{1}{2}| \geq \sqrt{3 \ln m}] \leq \frac{2}{m}. \)

5 n Balls into n Bins: Load Balancing

Suppose one throws \( n \) balls into \( n \) bins, independently and uniformly at random. We wish to analyze the maximum number of balls in any single bin. A similar situation arises when there are \( n \) jobs independently and randomly assigned to \( n \) machines, and we wish to analyze the number of jobs assigned to the busiest machine.

Consider the first bin, and let \( Y_1 \) be the number of balls in it. Note that \( Y_1 \) is a sum of \( n \) independent \( \{0, 1\} \)-random variables, each having expectation \( \frac{1}{n} \).

Proposition 5.1 \( \Pr[Y_1 \geq 4 \ln n + 1] \leq \frac{1}{n^2}. \)

Proof: Observe that \( E[Y_1] = 1 \), we use Chernoff Bound with large \( \epsilon > 0 \) (Corollary 3.3). We have:

\[ \Pr[Y_1 \geq 1 + \epsilon] \leq \exp(-\frac{\epsilon^2}{2n}). \]

We wish to find a value for \( \epsilon \) so that the last quantity is at most \( \frac{1}{n^2} \).

For \( \epsilon \geq 2 \), we have \( \frac{\epsilon^2}{2n} \geq \frac{\epsilon^2}{n} = \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \). Hence, the last quantity is at most \( \exp(-\frac{\epsilon}{2}) \), which equals \( \frac{1}{n^2} \), if we set \( \epsilon := 4 \ln n \geq 2. \)

Corollary 5.2 Using union bound, the probability that there exists a bin with more than \( 1 + 4 \ln n \) balls is at most \( \frac{1}{n} \).

6 Homework Preview

1. The Other Half of Chernoff. Suppose \( X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1} \) are independent \( \{0, 1\} \)-random variables, each having expectation \( p \). Let \( Y := \sum_i X_i \) and \( \mu := E[Y] \). Using the method of moment generating function, prove the following.

   For all \( \epsilon > 0 \), \( \Pr[Y - \mu \geq \epsilon \mu] \leq \left( \frac{e^\epsilon - \epsilon - 1}{(1+\epsilon)e^{1+\epsilon}} \right)^\mu. \)

2. n Balls into n Bins (Revisited). Using the Chernoff Bound from the previous question, we can obtain a better bound for the balls and bins problem. Suppose \( n \) balls are thrown independently and uniformly at random into \( n \) bins. Let \( Y_1 \) be the number of balls in the first bin.

   (a) Find a number \( N \) in terms of \( n \) such that \( \Pr[Y_1 \geq N] \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \). Please give the exact form and do not use big O notation for this part of the question.

   (Hint: if you need to find a number \( W \) such that \( W \ln W \geq \ln n \), try setting \( W := \frac{\lambda \ln n}{\ln \ln n} \), for some constant \( \lambda > 0 \). You can also assume that \( n \) is large enough, say \( n \geq 100 \).)

   (b) Show that with probability at least \( 1 - \frac{1}{n^2} \), no bin contains more than \( \Theta(\frac{\log n}{\log \log n}) \) balls.