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## Introduction

- Emergency Center
$\therefore$ Moving Car


## $\rightarrow$ Nearest Path

© Car in Danger


## Introduction

Our Problem: Monitoring k-NN objects over a road network to minimize (or maximize) an aggregate distance function for multiple query points.

|  | VLDB 2006 | TKDE 2005 | Our Problem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Continuous Query? | Yes | No | Yes |
| Object Points Can Move? | Yes | No | Yes |
| Query Points Can Move? | Yes | No | No |
| Multiple Query Points? | No | Yes | Yes |



## Problem Definition

Continuous Nearest Neighbor Query CANN(Q,k,h):

- Q: a set of fixed query points over a road network.
- k: a positive integer.
- h: an aggregate function (e.g. Sum, Min, Max).
- Defined on points in Q
- Variables are moving objects
- Network distance
- Result: monitoring the top-k moving objects that has the smallest $h$ function values in the road network.

Timestamp 1
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## Problem Definition



- A Running Example
- $Q=\{q 1, q 2, q 3\}, k=3$, and $h=S u m$.
- $\operatorname{Sum}(p 1)=\operatorname{Sum}\{d(p 1, q 1), d(p 1, q 2), d(p 1, q 3)\}=155$, Sum(p2)=155, Sum(p3)=255, Sum(p4)=200, Sum(p5)=288, and Sum(p6)=255.
- The top-3 result is $\{\mathrm{p} 1, \mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{p} 4\}$.

VLDB06: Tree-Expand-Approach


- A tree expand approach for a single query point.
- Expanding and Shrinking
- Timestamp 1: CANN(\{q3\},3,sum)=\{p2,p5,p1\}


## VLDB06: Tree-Expand-Approach



- A tree expand approach for a single query point.
- Expanding and Shrinking
- Timestamp 2: CANN(\{q3\},3,sum)=\{p2,p1,p5\}


## Our Solution: Non-Tree-Expand

- The order of visiting edges - Not a Tree
- A two-step approach
- Step 1: Construct a Query Graph (External Structure)
- Study the aggregate functions on edges.
- Pre-compute as much information as possible.
- Find an order of visiting edges.
- Step 2: Monitoring Top-k Objects
- Sequential Access
- Initial result computation
- Avoid re-computation


## Query Graph: Functions on Edges




(a) 1-source edge functions
(b) Sum

## Query Graph: Segmentation



- Segmentation based on the aggregate piecewise linear function.
- $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{8}}$ Start Value: 135; End Value: 175.


## Query Graph : Sorting Segments



## Monitoring Algorithm: Initial Result

- TList: The current top-k objects in ascending order of their $h$ values
- Tmax: the $h$ value of the $k$-th object in TList
- Sequential access
- Stop condition: Tmax <= Start value of the next segment


## Initial Result Computation

## Visit S2, Kmax=Infinity (k=4)



## Initial Result Computation

## Visit S3, Kmax=Infinity (k=4)



## Initial Result Computation

## Visit S6, Kmax=Infinity (k=4)



## Initial Result Computation

## Visit S1, Kmax=Infinity (k=4)



## Initial Result Computation

## Visit S4, Kmax=200 (k=4)



## Initial Result Computation

## Visit S9, Kmax=190 (k=4), Stop, Report TList



## Naïve Monitoring Algorithm

- Situation 1, Add an Object to TList: Incremental Update
- Situation 2, Remove an Object in TList: Recomputation
- Situation 3, Otherwise: Do nothing


## Naïve Monitoring Algorithm

Timestamp 1, add p10


## Naïve Monitoring Algorithm

Timestamp 1, add p10: Incremental Update


## Naïve Monitoring Algorithm

Timestamp 2, remove p8, Kmax=Infinity, Visit s10?


## Naïve Monitoring Algorithm

Timestamp 2, remove p8: Re-computation


## Naïve Monitoring Algorithm

Timestamp 3, remove p4


## Naïve Monitoring Algorithm

Timestamp 3, remove p4: Do nothing


## Bidirectional Updating Algorithm

- Sequential access: forward and backward
- CList: Candidate list
$\square$ A List of Objects on the visited edges but not in TList
- Completely avoid re-computation
- Situations:
- Add an object to TList: backward Update
- Remove an object from TList: forward Update
- Otherwise: update CList if necessary


## Bidirectional Updating Algorithm

Initially, Tmax=190


## Bidirectional Updating Algorithm

Timestamp 1, Remove p7, Tmax=Infinity


## Bidirectional Updating Algorithm

Timestamp 1, Remove p7, Move p4 to TList, Tmax=200


## Bidirectional Updating Algorithm

Timestamp 2, Remove p8, Tmax=Infinity


## Bidirectional Updating Algorithm

Timestamp 2, Remove p8, Forward update, visit s10, s5, Tmax=230


## Bidirectional Updating Algorithm

Timestamp 3, Add p11


## Bidirectional Updating Algorithm

Timestamp 3, Add p11:Backward Update, Tmax=200


## Experiment Setup

- We use road-map extracted from US Census Website.
- IRC: To compute the top-k results from scratch for every update.
- MTR: The Naïve monitoring algorithm
- BUA: Bidirectional updating algorithm
- One Query Point (VLDB06):
- IMA: Incremental monitoring algorithm
- GMA: Group monitoring algorithm


## Experiment Result



- Distribution of queries / Distribution of Objects
- U: Uniform G: Gaussian


## Experiment Result: Test Network




## Experiment Result: Test Query




## Summary

- Continuous Nearest Neighbor Query.
- Monitor k-NN objects over a road network.
- Minimize an aggregate distance function for multiple query points.
- Query Graph can be constructed offline.
- Bidirectional top-k monitoring algorithm to avoid re-computation.
- Extensive experiments are conducted using real road network maps.



## Implementation Details



## Experimental Studies

| Parameter | Default | Range |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of edges | 25 K | $10,15,20,25,30(\mathrm{~K})$ |
| Number of nodes | 20 K | $5,10,15,20,25(\mathrm{~K})$ |
| Number of queries | 5 K | $1,3,5,7,10(\mathrm{~K})$ |
| Number of query points | 20 | $1,10,20,30,40$ |
| Number of objects | 100 K | $10,50,100,150,200(\mathrm{~K})$ |
| Query distribution | Uniform | Gaussian, Uniform |
| Object distribution | Uniform | Gaussian, Uniform |
| Top-k | 50 | $1,25,50,100,200$ |
| Object agility | $10 \%$ | $5,10,15,20,25(\%)$ |
| Buffer size | 2 K | $1,2,3,4,5(\mathrm{~K})$ |
| Function | SUM | MIN, MAX, SUM |

## Experimental Studies

Time to construct query graph:

| $\|E\|(K) / \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{ms})$ | $10 / 114$ | $15 / 214$ | $20 / 319$ | $25 / 408$ | $30 / 505$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\|N\|(K) / \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{ms})$ | $5 / 64$ | $10 / 155$ | $15 / 254$ | $20 / 336$ | $25 / 437$ |
| $\|Q\| / \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{ms})$ | $1 / 26$ | $10 / 178$ | $20 / 343$ | $30 / 506$ | $40 / 675$ |


(a) IMA,GMA vs BUA

(d) Vary Object Agility

(b) Vary Edges

(e) Vary Objects

(c) Vary Nodes

(f) Vary k

## Experimental Studies


(g) Vary Queries

(j) Vary Buffer Size

(h) Vary Query Points

(k) Vary Queries

(i) Vary Functions

(l) Vary k

