Abstract

Tabular expressions were proposed as a documentation tool that can be used to document software precisely and unambiguously. This paper explores the applications of four testing strategies in tabular expression-based specifications and further compares the strategies on a mathematical basis.

1. Introduction

Tabular expressions [1] have proven to be very useful in industrial experiences with A-7, Nuclear Power Station, Bell Labs, Dell, and so on. However, little work has been done on how to generate test cases from the tabular expression-based specifications. Testers need guidelines in selecting effective testing strategies. While more than one testing strategies can be directly or indirectly applied to the specifications, what the testers care are “how to apply the strategies” and “which strategies are more effective”.

2. Comparison

We select four testing strategies that can make use of the features of the tabular expressions. One Cell One Test Case (SO) [2] is a testing method specifically proposed for the tabular expressions. Decision Table-Based Testing (SD) [3] generates test data by describing the correspondences between actions and conditions of inputs. Basic Meaningful Impact Strategy (SB) [4] and Fault-Based Testing (SF) [5] are based on Boolean expression-based specifications. We have formulated four algorithms for applying the respective strategies to tabular expression-based specifications. We compare the relative effectiveness using mathematical theorems based on sets of abstract test case constraints obtained from the algorithms.

Table 1 shows the relative effectiveness of the four testing strategies. The symbols ‘▶’, ‘▷’, and ‘~’ denote “unconditionally subsume”, “conditionally subsume”, and “incomparable”, respectively. DSP and NDSP stand for specifications with and without duplicated expressions, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Testing Strategy</th>
<th>SO</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>SB</th>
<th>SF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DSP</td>
<td></td>
<td>▶</td>
<td>▶</td>
<td>▷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDSP</td>
<td>▷</td>
<td>▶</td>
<td>▷</td>
<td>▷</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Conclusions

In the four testing strategies, no one strategy is the strongest for all specifications. Testers need to select testing strategies based on the features of the specifications. The comparison helps testers to analyze the specifications and to select the best testing strategies. Moreover, researchers can use these results to improve current testing strategies.
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